



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,682	08/03/2006	Daniel W. Mayer	MCN226USPT02	4858

23403 7590 07/22/2009  
SHERRILL LAW OFFICES  
4756 BANNING AVE  
SUITE 212  
WHITE BEAR LAKE, MN 55110-3205

EXAMINER
----------

GISSEL, GUNNAR J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2856

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

07/22/2009

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

michaels@sherrilllaw.com  
izag@sherrilllaw.com  
docketing@sherrilllaw.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/597,682	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MAYER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Gunnar J. Gissel	<b>Art Unit</b> 2856	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Gunnar J. Gissel. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Michael Sherril. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 17 July 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-4.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant noted that a signed copy of the IDS submitted 1/06/2009 had never been received. Examiner investigated and determined that the 1/06/2009 IDS had never been sent, and agreed to send a copy post-hasten.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Hezron Williams/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2856
--	---